

Panaji, 5th July, 1979 (Asadha 14, 1901)

SERIES II No. 14

# OFFICIAL GAZETTE



## GOVERNMENT OF GOA, DAMAN AND DIU

### GOVERNMENT OF GOA, DAMAN AND DIU

#### Department of Personnel and Administrative Reforms

##### Order

No. 4-39-77-Div. I

P. 1

Head of Section  
Kamat Mah.

Shri Parkash Chander, IAS., Dy. Collector, Division, is hereby sanctioned leave for from 2-7-1979 to 16-7-1979 (both days mission to prefix 1-7-1979 being Sunday; be notified later.

2. Shri Chander would have continued as Dy. Collector (North) but his proceeding as Dy. Collector (North) will be notified later.

3. Consequent upon placing of the Shri Parkash Chander, IAS (U. T.) at the disposal of Government of Arunachal Pradesh by the Government of Goa, Ministry of Home Affairs, New-Delhi, the Administrator of Goa, Daman and Diu is pleased to order that Shri Parkash Chander, IAS., will stand relieved from the date of expiry of his leave.

4. Shri Parkash Chander, Dy. Collector of Goa, will hand over charge of the post to Shri P. R. Joshi, Addl. Dy. Collector on 30-6-1979 (A. N.).

5. Shri P. R. Joshi, Addl. Dy. Collector shall officiate as Dy. Collector North Goa Sub-Division with effect from 30-6-1979 (A. N.) in addition to his own duties till further orders.

6. On expiry of leave Shri Parkash Chander will report for duty directly as Additional Dy. Commissioner Changlang in Tirap District, Government of Arunachal Pradesh.

By order and in the name of the Administrator of Goa, Daman and Diu.

V. J. Menezes, Under Secretary (Personnel).

Panaji, 29th June, 1979.

##### Order

No. 5-28-77-Div. I

Shri V. A. P. Mahajan, Director of Tourism, Panaji, is hereby sanctioned leave for nineteen (19) days with effect from 25-6-1979 to 13-7-1979. The nature of leave will be notified later on.

2. Shri R. G. Jatkar, Director of Information, Panaji, shall officiate as Director of Tourism, Panaji, in addition to his own duties during the leave period of Shri Mahajan.

3. Shri Mahajan would have continued to officiate in the same post and station but for his proceeding on leave.

By order and in the name of the Administrator of Goa, Daman and Diu.

V. J. Menezes, Under Secretary (Personnel).

Panaji, 23rd June, 1979.

##### Order

No. 4-7-78-Div. I

Shri Chhering Targay, IAS., Dy. Collector, South Goa, Sub-Division, Margao, is sanctioned leave for twelve (12) days

with effect from 2-7-1979 to 13-7-1979. The nature of leave will be notified later on. He is also permitted to avail L. T. C. for the Block year 1978-79.

2. Shri J. J. Barreto, Mamlatdar, Salcete, shall officiate as Dy. Collector, South Goa Sub-Division during the leave period of Shri Chhering Targay, IAS., in addition to his own duties.

3. Certified that Shri Chhering Targay would have officiated in the same post and station but for his proceeding on

North: By order and in the name of the Administrator of Goa, Daman and Diu.

South: V. J. Menezes, Under Secretary (Personnel).

East: Panaji, 23rd June, 1979.

West

#### Secretariat Administration and Coordination Division

##### Notification

No. 41-12-79-Div. III

The Government Notification No. 14-39-73-GAD dated 17th November, 1973 published in the Official Gazette, Series II, No. 34, dated 22-11-1973 is hereby cancelled.

2. Consequently, Lt. Governor of Goa, Daman and Diu will be the ex-Officio President of the Goa, Daman and Diu Branch of Indian Red Cross Society, with immediate effect in terms and for the purposes of paragraph II, clause 3 of the Constitution of Goa, Daman and Diu Branch of the Indian Red Cross Society.

R. M. Agrawal, Chief Secretary.

Panaji, 29th June, 1979.

#### Works, Education and Tourism Department

##### Order

No. DSCA/PHE-24/1/77-78

Read: Government order of even number dated 6-9-1977.

In partial modification of Government order quoted above, the Government of Goa, Daman and Diu is hereby pleased to appoint the Development Commissioner of Goa, Daman and Diu as Chairman of the Goa, Daman and Diu State Advisory Committee for N. C. C. for the remaining period of the tenure of the Committee in place of Ex-Minister of State for Education of Goa, Daman and Diu.

2. The name of Shri C. U. Chodankar, Ex-M.L.A., shall be deleted from membership of the said Committee.

3. The said Committee shall meet once in a year.

By order and in the name of the Administrator of Goa, Daman and Diu.

F. A. Figueiredo, Under Secretary, Works, Education and Tourism.

Panaji, 7th June, 1979.

Public Works Department  
Office of the Chief Engineer

Order

No. PWD/Estt/123/79

The following transfers of Executive Engineers and Assistant Engineers/Asstt. Surveyor of Works, are hereby ordered with immediate effect in the interest of public service:—

Sr. No.	Name	From	To
<i>Executive Engineers</i>			
1.	Shri R. G. Deo	Works Division III, PWD, Panaji.	Works Division XVII, PWD, Panaji vice Shri N. V. C. Chetty.
2.	Shri N. V. C. Chetty	Works Division XVII, PWD, Panaji.	Works Division III, PWD, Panaji vice Shri R. G. Deo.
<i>Assistant Engineer/Asstt. Surveyor of Works</i>			
1.	Shri A. R. Dharadhar	Sub-Division I of Works Div. XIII, PWD, Panaji.	Circle Office PWD, Panaji vice Shri H. R. Anand.
2.	Shri H. R. Anand	Circle Office IV, PWD, Panaji.	Sub-Div. I of W. D. XIII, PWD, Panaji vice Shri A. R. Dharadhar.
3.	Shri K. P. Gopinathan	Sub-Div. III of Works Div. XIV, PWD, Margao.	Sub-Div. IV of W. D. XIII, PWD, Ponda vice Shri S. Bhandare.
4.	Shri S. S. Bhandare	Sub-Div. IV of W. D. XIII, PWD, Ponda.	Sub-Div. III of W. D. XIV, PWD, Margao, vice Shri K. P. Gopinathan.
5.	Shri Jamnadas Rana.	Sub-Div. I of W. D. VII, PWD, Daman.	Sub-Div. IV of Works Div. VII, Daman vice Shri S. C. Hiremath.
6.	Shri S. C. Hiremath	Sub-Division IV of W. D., VII, PWD, Daman.	Sub-Div. I of W. D. VII, PWD, Daman, vice Shri J. Rana.
7.	Shri Mohan P. Darvotcar	Circle Office I, PWD, Panaji.	Office of the S. S. W., PWD, Panaji vice Shri C. Radhakrishnan.
8.	Shri C. Radhakrishnan	Office of the S.S.W., PWD, Panaji.	Sub-Div. I of W.D. V, PWD, Panaji, vice Shri A. Sallkar.
9.	Shri Arvind Sallkar	Sub-Div. I of W.D. V, PWD, Panaji.	ASW in W. D. XVI, PWD, Margao in the existing vacancy.
10.	Shri S. G. R. Shivachidambaram	Works Division VIII, PWD, Margao.	W. D. XII, Margao vice Shri A. Parulekar
11.	Shri Anil Parulekar	Works Division XII, PWD, Margao.	Works Division VIII, PWD, Margao, vice Shri Shivachidambaram;
12.	Shri Vishwas Inamdar	Sub-Div. I of W. D. VIII, PWD, Ponda.	Works Div. XVIII, SD I, PWD, Bicholim, vice Shri J. Kanuja.

Sr. No.	Name	From	To
13.	Shri Jogendra Singh Kanuja	Sub-Div. I of W. D. XVIII, PWD, Bicholim.	Works Div. XX, PWD, Ponda, in the existing vacancy.
14.	Shri R. M. Kosambe	Works Div. XIV, PWD, Margao.	A. S. W. in Works Div. XV, PWD, Margao in the existing vacancy.
15.	Shri K. Ramachandra Rao	Circle Office III, PWD, Panaji.	A. S. W. in Works Div. XVIII, PWD, Panaji, in the existing vacancy.
16.	Shri R. B. Kanbarkar	Sub-Div. I of W. D. XIII, PWD, Bicholim.	Works Div. XXIII, PWD, in the existing vacancy.
17.	Shri J. S. Parabrahman	Sub-Div. IV of W. D. XI, PWD, Sangem.	Works Div., XXII, PWD, Sangem in the existing vacancy.

In case of Executive Engineers, Shri R. G. Deo should

Assistant Engineers/Asst. Surveyor of Works, No. 1, 3, 5, 7, 10, 12, 14, 15, 16 and 17

in the name of the Lieutenant Governor and Diu.

C. G. L. ... Engineer, P.W.D., and Ex-Officio Addl. Secretary to the Govt.

Panaji, 16th June, 1979.

Revenue Department

Order

No. CAB/Temple/113/541

The Lt. Governor of Goa, Daman and Diu under the authority vested in him by Art. 18 of the Devasthan Regulation, by his order dated 7-10-78 has been pleased to appoint the below named persons on a Special Committee to frame the draft bye-laws of the Devasthan "Shri Datta Mandir" Siolim.

Effective Members:

President: Shri Vinayak A. Fulari of Siolim-Bamanvado.  
Attorney: Shri Gorakha A. Sawant of Siolim-Vaddi.  
Treasurer: Shri Nagesh V. Sawant, Aframentowado of  
Secretary: Shri Sadhashiva S. Shirodkar of Siolim.

Substitute Members:

President: Shri Vithal P. Pangam of Igrosvado-Siolim.  
Attorney: Shri Gorakhnath A. Fulari of Bamonvado-Siolim.  
Treasurer: Shri Prabhakar Narvekar of Siolim Vadi.  
Secretary: Shri G. R. Sawant of Siolim Vadi.

The above Committee is required to submit its report to the Government within a period of six months from the date of publication of this order in the Official Gazette. The procedure laid down under Art. 17 & 18 of the Devasthan Regulation, as amended by the Legislative Diploma No. 1899, dated 29-5-1959 and also the Government directives contained in the Revenue Department's letter No. RD/END/116-71, dated 27-7-1971 should be followed to frame bye-laws.

The above committee is also entrusted with the management of the said Devalaya until the bye-laws are approved and published.

Vaman Sardesai, Collector and DCA.

Panaji, 5th June, 1979.

## Notification

No. RD/LQN/232/76

Whereas by Government Notification No. RD/LQN/232/76 dated 21-9-1976 published on page 203 of Series II, No. 26 of the Official Gazette, dated 23-9-1976 it was notified under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (hereinafter referred to as "the said Act") that the land, specified in the schedule appended to the said Notification (hereinafter referred to as the "said land") was likely to be needed for the public purpose viz. for construction of Road from changing room at Gaspar Dias to Caranzalem Beach (addl. area).

And Whereas the appropriate Government (hereinafter referred to as "the Government") is satisfied after considering the report made under sub-section (2) of Section 5A of the

said Act, that the said land specified in the schedule hereto is needed to be acquired for the public purpose specified above.

Now, Therefore, the Government is pleased to declare under the provisions of Section 6 of the said Act that the said land is required for the public purpose specified above.

2. The Government is also pleased to appoint under clause (c) of Section 3 of the said Act, the Deputy Collector Goa, North Division, Panaji, to perform the functions of a Collector for all proceedings hereinafter to be taken in respect of the said land, and to direct him under Section 7 of the said Act to take order for the acquisition of the said land.

3. A plan of the said land can be inspected at the office of the said Deputy Collector Goa, North Division, Panaji, till the award is made under Section 11.

## SCHEDULE

(Description of the said land)

Sr. No.	Taluka	Village/Ward	Plot No.	Survey No.	Names of the persons believed to be interested	Approximate area in sq. mts.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1.	Tiswadi	Taleigao	1	135/ P. 1	Head of Society of Mahamai Kamat Shri Nanum V. Kamat Mahamai. North: Sharda Mandir. South: Head of Society of Mahamai Kamat Shri Nanum V. Kamat Mahamai and Joao Filipe Rego. East: Sharda Mandir. West: Government Road.	750.25
2.	— do —	— do —	1	141/ P. 1	Shri Joao Filipe do Rego of Santa Cruz. North: Joao Filipe Rego and Sharda Mandir. South: Head of Society of Mahamai Kamat Shri Nanum V. Kamat Mahamai. East: Joao Filipe Rego. West: Government Land.	31.25
3.	— do —	— do —	3	141/ Pt. 2	Head of Society of Mahamai Kamat Shri Nanum V. Kamat Mahamai of Panaji. North: Joao Filipe Rego South: Jose Francisco Fermino Menezes e Cota. East: Head of Society of Mahamai Kamat Shri Nanum V. Kamat Mahamai. West: Government Land.	919.75
4.	— do —	— do —	4	143/ Pt. 35	Shri Jose Francisco Fermino Menezes e Cota of Porvorim Alto. North: Head of Society of Mahamai Kamat Shri Nanum V. Kamat Mahamai. South: Ana Monteiro. East: Jose Francisco Fermino Menezes e Cota. West: Government Land.	1,076.55
5.	— do —	— do —	5	147/ Pt. 1	Shrimati Ana Monteiro of Taleigao. North: Jose F. F. Menezes e Cota. South: Presently held by Jose J. E. Menezes e Cota. East: Ana Monteiro. West: Government Road.	258.75
6.	— do —	— do —	6	147/ Pt. 2	Shri Jose F. F. M. e Cota presently held by Jose Jeronimo Eustaquio Menezes e Cota of Goa-Velha. North: Ana Monteiro South: F. Monsorate. East: Jose J. E. M. e Cota. West: Government Land.	196.85
Total .....						3,233.40

By order and in the name of the Lieutenant Governor of Goa, Daman and Diu.

V. V. Mongia, Secretary (Revenue).

Panaji, 29th June, 1979.

## Industries and Labour Department

## Order

No. ILD/4573/79

The following Award given by the Industrial Tribunal, Goa, Daman and Diu on an industrial dispute between M/s Empressa Transport Agacaim-Panaji Pvt. Ltd., Pilar, Ilhas, Goa, and their workman Shri Caetano Fernandes, Mechanic, is hereby published as required under the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947).

By order and in the name of the Lieutenant Governor of Goa, Daman and Diu.

M. S. Sail, Under Secretary (Industries and Labour).

Panaji, 6th June, 1979.

Before Shri R. V. Kollali, Presiding Officer,  
Industrial Tribunal cum Labour Court,  
Government of Goa, Daman and Diu,  
Panaji-Goa

Ref. No. IT/34/74

Shri Caetano Fernandes, represented by  
The General Secretary, Goa Trade &  
Commercial Workers Union, Assonora  
Bardez-Goa.

... 1st Party

V/s.

M/s. Empressa Transport Agacaim-Panaji  
Private Limited, Pilar, Ilhas, Goa.

... 2nd Party

The dispute concerning the retrenching of the 1st party workman by the 2nd party employer was referred for adjudication to this Tribunal setting out the following schedule:

## SCHEDULE

"Whether the action of the management of M/s. Empressa Transport Agacaim-Panaji Private Limited, in retrenching Shri Caetano Fernandes, Mechanic, with effect from 30th April, 1971, is proper and justified?

If not, to what relief the workman is entitled to?"

The first party filed a claim statement that the workman had worked for more than 6 years as an Asstt. Mechanic, that no notice pay and retrenchment compensation were paid when retrenchment was given effect to and hence the retrenchment was illegal and bad in law as the provisions of section 25F of the Industrial Disputes Act have not been complied with and a mere call to the workman to come and collect notice pay and retrenchment compensation is not legal and that therefore reinstatement with back wages be ordered in favour of the workman.

By the written statement, the second party stated that a new Board of Directors was elected on 12-7-1970 which after studying the functioning of the company and the prevailing precarious financial condition thereof which was almost leading it to liquidation, decided on setting right the functioning of the company's business and thus to salvage it by taking certain steps. One such step was to abolish the major maintenance repairs and servicing section from 30-4-1971 as the section was more expensive than having the work attended to by outside repairing and servicing agencies; in this background, the workman was served with retrenchment notice on 25-4-1971; on 30-4-1971 the workman was called on the office of the Managing Director for receiving a cheque for Rs. 1,300/-; the workman said that he would consult some outsiders before accepting the cheque and therefore the workman was called again by the Managing Director when the workman said that he would accept the cheque if all his dues were settled, though the Managing Director explained to the workman that the cheque was towards the retrenchment compensation and notice pay, went away without accepting the cheque and never turned up again; thus notice pay and retrenchment compensation were offered but rejected by the workman; the retrenchment arose out of closure and hence section 25F(a) and (b) of the Industrial Disputes Act are not applicable but the provisions of section

25FFF would govern the case; no mechanic has been engaged by the company since the time of retrenchment; with a view to stop litigation during Conciliation proceedings, the Company offered an equal sum as gratuity though the Gratuity law was not in force at the time; the workman having refused to accept the notice pay and compensation the company has not violated any law and that hence the retrenchment is valid and no reinstatement can be granted.

The following two issues were set down in addition to the schedule of the order of reference.

- (1) Whether the contents of para 5 of the counter statement by the Management is proved?
- (2) If yes, what reliefs are open to the workman?

The second party witness is the Managing Director (MW1). He stated that on assuming office, on 12-7-1971 he found that the financial condition of the company was critical; the salary of the employees could not be paid regularly and there were bills which had been outstanding against the company and some instalments on purchase had also to be paid and there was default in the payment of Income Tax also; only two alternatives presented themselves — firstly to wind up and go into liquidation or secondly to curtail expenses and effect retrenchment; there was no well-equipped maintenance section, the outlay on it being of the value of Rs. 1,054/- only; a decision was taken to close it down; unnecessary expenses were curtailed and a check was kept on the conductors to plug the leakages in income; the closing down of the maintenance section meant the termination of the service of certain employees; two or three of them resigned and the mechanic was retrenched; a month's notice pay and retrenchment compensation were offered to the mechanic, he did not accept on the ground that he would consult others; later, he said that he was entitled to bonus from 1964 to 1970 and hence the calculation was not correct; the witness told the workman that the bonus point was under consideration and if a decision to pay was taken, he would also get it but the workman refused and went away; and that before the Labour Commissioner during conciliation proceeding also gratuity was offered ex-gratia. In cross examination the witness stated that the mechanic was borne on the maintenance section; offer of payment had been made and as the workman had personally refused, no money was sent by Money Order; no mechanic has been engaged since the retrenchment of the workman; four of the buses which were in the garage permanently were sold by the witness as scrap.

The workman (WW1) stated that though there was offer of payment, no payment was made to him; he asked for bonus and leave salary which were not paid; no other mechanic has been employed since the retrenchment and only outside mechanics are called as and when necessary; he denied that a cheque for Rs. 1,300/- was offered to him with a promise about the bonus being paid when declared.

WW2 is another workman whom stated that the management had been saying that there was no work for the first party.

Exh. M1 is the Annual Report of Accounts for the year ending 31st March, 1972. It can be seen from the list of fixed assets, that against the item, plant and tools the value is Rs. 1084/- only which is reduced to Rs. 975/- on account of 10% depreciation at the end of the year. The management's case is that strict economy was essential to make the concern viable. Exh. M1 itself shows that in the preceding year the concern had suffered a net loss of Rs. 28,229.67. It was therefore, no wonder that the new Board of Directors decided on reorganizing the working of the concern and decided on retrenching where possible. Shri George Vaz for the workman argued that the reorganisation cannot be considered to be on sound lines as the expenditure on maintenance and repairs had increased from 28519.52 (in 1970-71) to Rs. 28900.29 (in 1971-72) and that for vulcanising and Puncture had increased from Rs. 296.25 to Rs. 304.00 in the two years respectively. This is taking a too superficial aview. There is a considerable reduction in salaries and allowance. These figures are, for 1970-71, Rs. 36690.75 and, for 1971-72 Rs. 33286.20, showing a saving of Rs. 3410.55 as against an increase of Rs. 381.77 in maintenance and repairs etc. yielding a net saving of Rs. 3029.78. The wages of the 1st party workman at Rs. 265/- (250+15) per month works out at Rs. 3180/- in a year which is more than 90 percent of the saving.

There can be no doubt therefore that there was reorganisation of the working of the concern and in the process the maintenance and repairs section was closed down.

In the claim statement it had been contended that the workman had been an Asstt. Mechanic and the workman in his statement at the evidence stage stated that the maintenance section is being run by an Asstt. Mechanic with the aid of one helper. The assertion in the statement of claim was obviously intended to make out a case for reinstatement. The Company contended that the workman was a Mechanic and not an Asstt. Mechanic, a position which was conceded to during the deposition of the workman himself. It has been also admitted that no Mechanic has been engaged since the retrenchment of the workman. The 1st issue is answered in the affirmative.

The legality of the retrenchment has also been challenged on the ground that the compensation was not paid at the time of retrenchment, which payment is a condition precedent for effecting a retrenchment valid under the provisions of section 25 F of the Industrial Disputes Act. But in the instant case, the retrenchment is by way closure of a section of the concern and hence the provisions of section 25 FFF would be applicable. This view finds support in the reported decision in *Radio & Electricals Ltd. V/s. Industrial Tribunal Madras* in Vol. 37(1970) *Factories Journal Reports* page 382.

That a part of a business can be closed down or there can be a partial closure of business has been accepted in *Hotel Ambassador V/s. Its workman*, (1963) II LLJ, 87 and in *Workmen of Indian Leaf Jobano Development Co. Ltd. V/s. Indian Leaf Jobano Development Co. Ltd.* (1968), 37 FJR 231. In the *Radio Electricals* case quoted *Supra*, the observation is that a mere reference to retrenchment or to the provision of section 25 F does not mean that only those provisions govern the subject matter and there cannot be any other possibility. In the present case, the maintenance section has been closed down. It is therefore a case of partial closing down of the activities of the concern as explained in the *Jobano Company* case quoted above. Under section 25 FFF, the payment of compensation is not a condition precedent.

In any event, as there is no maintenance and Repairs section, in the 2nd party concern and it is an admitted fact that there has been no other Mechanic employed after the workman was removed from service, there can be no reinstatement. The question of compensation has to be considered therefore. The 2nd party offered Rs. 2000/- during the evidence stage and it was turned down by the workman. For a good reason too. It was not clear whether Rs. 2000/- were offered as in full settlement or over and above what were legitimately, due to the workman. What the Tribunal has in mind is that Rs. 1300/- were calculated as retrenchment compensation. Rs. 1100/- were due as admitted during the arguments as bonus for six years. These two items add up to Rs. 2400/-. The workman had not been informed as to the fact that he was entitled to get bonus. He had been told that he would be paid bonus when it was declared. After the declaration no intimation was sent to the workman informing him of the fact of the bonus declaration and the bonus due to him. Therefore, if the workman refused the offer of Rs. 2000/- without being informed of the amount of the bonus due to him, little blame can be laid on him. At the same time by contending that he had been an assistant mechanic, the workman has not shown himself in trustworthy colour. Considering the entire matter the Tribunal is inclined to award 10 months wages (Rs. 250+15) as adequate compensation in addition to the compensation of Rs. 1300/- and the bonus of

Rs. 1100/- giving a total of Rs. 5050/- receivable by the workman. Issue No. 2 and the reference are answered accordingly. The following award is passed.

#### AWARD

The 1st party workman is held entitled to get Rs. 5050/- from the 2nd party as no reinstatement is possible in the case.

Place: — Panaji.

Dated: — 23-3-1979.

R. V. Kollak

Presiding Officer.

#### Notification

No. 1-625-78-IPD

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (8) of section 2 of the Goa, Daman and Diu Barge (Taxation on Goods) Act, 1978 (Act No. 10 of 1978), the Government hereby appoints the Captain of Ports, Panaji and the Deputy Captain of Ports, Mormugao, to be Tax Officers for the purposes of the said Act for the whole of the Union territory of Goa, Daman and Diu.

By order and in the name of the Administrator of Goa, Daman and Diu.

M. S. Sail, Under Secretary.

Panaji, 18th June, 1979.

#### Law Department (Legal Advice)

#### Notification

No. 10/20/79-Legal

The supersession of the Government Order No. LD/1/19/76 dated 24-3-1976, the Administrator of Goa, Daman and Diu is pleased to constitute the State Level Committee consisting of the following members to review the progress in regard to the trial of cases as well as delays in investigation and to suggest remedial measures.

1. His Excellency Lt. Governor of Goa, Daman and Diu — Chairman.
2. Hon. Judicial Commissioner or the nominee of the Judicial Commissioner — Member.
3. Chief Secretary-cum-Home Secretary — Member.
4. Inspector General of Police — Member.
5. Law Secretary — Member/Secretary.

The committee shall meet twice a year.

By order and in the name of the Administrator of Goa, Daman and Diu.

B. S. Subbanna, Under Secretary (Law).

Panaji, 27th June, 1979.